# Committee: Children and Young People Overview and Scrutiny Panel 

Date: 18 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ April 2012
Agenda item: 8
Wards: All Wards

## Subject: Performance Monitoring

Lead officer: Paul Ballatt (Children, Schools and Families), 02085454066
Lead members: Councillor Maxi Martin, Councillor Peter Walker.
Forward Plan reference number: N/A
Contact officer: Michael Sutherland; 02085454090
michael.sutherland@merton.gov.uk

## Recommendations:

A. That the Children and Young People's Overview and Scrutiny Panel discuss and comment on the current levels of performance set out in the attached report.

## 1 PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1 To provide the Overview and Scrutiny Panel with regular data on the performance of Children, Schools and Families Department and key partners.

## 2 DETAILS

2.1 At the Children and Young People Scrutiny Panel meeting on 5th June 2007 it was agreed that the Children, Schools and Families Department would submit a regular performance report on a range of key performance indicators. This would act as a 'health check' for the panel and would be over and above more detailed performance reports relating to specific areas of the Department's activities - eg annual school standards report; safeguarding performance reports - which the Panel would continue to receive.
2.2 This indicator set is subject to review, this report is the first of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ version of the index. It reports data as available at the end of February 2012

## Childrens Social Care

## Lines 2 and 3 Initial and Core Assessment completion rates

Over the year there has been a rise in completion rates within prescribed timescales for assessments. However performance is below targets. In line with national guidance, managers have put in place systems to monitor the quality of assessments in addition to timeliness. Ambitious forward targets of $90 \%$ and $80 \%$ have been set for the coming year for initial and core assessments.

## Line 5 \% of reviews completed within timescale for Children with Child Protection Plans

Current performance is $99 \%, 1 \%$ below target but above local and neighbour averages. One review was out of timescale in January due to significant change in family circumstance which led to the review being rescheduled to include all required agencies.

## Line 7 NI 65 - \% of Children that became the subject of a CP Plan for the Second or subsequent time

The rate at the by the end of February was $8.5 \%$, which is 11 of 130 . This is close to the target range of $10-17 \%$.

## Lines 10 and 11 Number of special guardianship orders (SGO's) and adoptions

 There have been 8 adoptions this year, which is an increase of 2 from the previous year. There have been 7 SGO's granted, but only 3 of these were orders issued for children currently in care, 2 were issued for children who had recently been in care and 2 were for children subject to a child protection plan. So the current total is 11, 1 below target, one further adoption's expected to be finalised before the end of the financial year.The service has made improvements in timeliness of adoptions, see line 16

## Line 14 NI 66 - Children in care cases which were reviewed within required timescales

No LAC reviews have been missed since the last report to Scrutiny. Performance is well above the national rate of $91 \%$.

## Line 15 \% of Children in care participating in their reviews

Successful efforts have been made to increase participation levels of children in care at statutory reviews. Performance is above that of last year but $2 \%$ below the targeted rate.

Line 16 NI 61 - Timeliness of adoption placements post best interest decision At $80 \%$ performance last year was above the national average of $72 \%$, to date this year's performance remains above the national average at $75 \%$.

## Youth Inclusion

## Line 20 Youth Service Participation

The participation target has been met.

## Education and Early Years

## Line 31 Number of managed moves - Primary

This data has been supplied for the first time. In the previous academic year there were 4 managed moves. So far this academic year there have been 3 managed moves.

## Line 38 Number of 0-4 year olds accessing childrens centre services from deprived area (IDACI bottom 30\%)

End of year performance is expected to exceed target. Recording has improved, there is effective marketing of services across the partnership and improving identification of need. By the end of quarter 3 over 3,000 children (57.9\%) from deprived areas had accessed children centre services.

## Road Accidents

There has been an increase in the number of children and young people involved in road accidents from 2010 to 2011. This will be addressed by a systematic programme of road safety training and education to reduce casualties.

## 3. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

3.1The Panel's scrutiny work programme is determined by the members of the Panel

## 4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN OR PROPOSED

4.1 The Panel has agreed to consider the performance report on an annual basis
5. TIMETABLE
5.1 None relating to this covering report

6 FINANCIAL, RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS
6.1 None relating to this covering report
7. LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS
7.1 None relating to this covering report
8. HUMAN RIGHTS, EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHESION IMPLICATIONS
8.1 None relating to this covering report
9. CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS
9.1 None relating to this covering report
10. RISK MANAGEMENT AND HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS
10.1 None relating to this covering report

## 11. APPENDICES - THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE TO BE PUBLISHED WITH THIS REPORT AND FORM PART OF THE REPORT •

Appendix 1 Performance data sheet
12 BACKGROUND PAPERS
12.1. None

|  | Indicator | Merton 2010-2011 | $\begin{gathered} \text { National Average } \\ 2010-2011 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Statistical Nieghbour Average 2010-2011 | Target 2011-2012 | Quarter 3 (October December) | January | February | Traffic Light | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Childrens Social Care |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Numbers of CAFs | 423 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 445 |  |  | NTS | YTD |
| 2 | \% of initial assessments completed within 10 days | 63\% | 77\% | 77.3\% | 75\% | 60\% | 60\% | 61\% | Red | YTD |
| 3 | $\%$ of core assessments completed within 35 days | 65\% | 75\% | 77.6\% | 75\% | 57\% | 57\% | 56\% | $\frac{\text { Red }}{\text { Green }}$ | YTD |
| 4 | \% of Children with a Chiid Protection Plan with allocated a social worker | 100\% | N7.4\% | ${ }_{\text {N7, }}^{\text {N/ }}$ | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | Green | YTD |
| 6 | \% of Children with Child Protection Plans visits due completed on time (Child Seen) | 94\% | N/A | N/A | 93\% | 92\% | 94\% | 94\% | Green | YTD |
| 7 | N $165-\%$ of Children that became the subject of a CP Plan for the Second or subsequent time | 13\% | 13\% | 12.4\% | 10-17\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | Amber | YTD |
| 8 | \% of Children in Care with allocated social worker | 100\% | N/A | N/A | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | Green | YTD |
| 9 | Children in Care rate per 10,000 | 31.4 | 59 | 54 | up to 35 | 30.74 | 32.37 | 32.13 | Green |  |
| 10 | Number of Special Guardianship Orders | 5 |  | N/A |  | 2 | 3 | 3 |  | YTD |
| 11 | Number of Adoptions | 6 | 18\% (3 year average) | N/A | 12 |  | 8 | 8 | Amber | YTD |
| 12 | N1 62 - Stability of placements of Children in care - number of moves (3 or more moves in the year) | 15\% | 11\% | 10.7\% | up to 20\% | 11\% | 12\% | 13\% | Green |  |
| 13 | N1 63 - Stability of placements of Children in care - length of placement | 67\% | 68\% | 72\% | 65\% | 73\% | 74\% | 75\% | Green |  |
| 14 | NI 66 - Children in care cases which were reviewed within required timescales | 97\% | 90.9\% (2009-2010) | N/A | 100\% | 96\% | 97\% | 97\% | Red | YTD |
| 15 | \% of Children in care participating in their reviews | 81\% | N/A | N/A | 95\% | 92\% | 94\% | 93\% | Amber | YTD |
| 16 | N1 61 - Timeliness of adoption placements post best interest decision | 80\% | 72\% | N/A | 50\% | 66.7\% (4/6) | $75 \%$ (6/8) | 75\% (6/8) | Green | YTD |
|  | Youth Inclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | N 19 - Rates of Re-offending per 100 offenders | 1.01 | 1.03 | N/A | 1.03 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | Measure being redefined |
| 18 | Number of First Time Entrants to the Youth Justice System (aged 10-17) | 144 | N/A | N/A | 200 | 95 |  |  | Green | YTD |
| 19 | Young Offenders NEET rate | 12\% | 27\% | N/A | 15\% | 7.9\% |  |  | Green |  |
| 20 | Youth Service: Participation | 2531 | N/A | N/A | 1700 | 997 | 1413 | 1965 | Green | YTD |
| 21 | Youth Justice Caseload per worker | 22 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 17 |  |  | NTS |  |
|  | Education including early years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | Secondary School Persistent absence (LA) 20\% threshold | 4.6\% | N/A | N/A | 4.5\% |  |  |  | Green | Annual Measure |
| 23 | Secondary School Persistent absence (includes academies), $20 \%$ threshold | 4.5\% | 4.4\% | N/A | N/A |  |  |  | NTS | Annual Measure |
| 24 | \% of Pupil Exclusions Fixed - Secondary | 13.0\% | 8.6\% | N/A | N/A |  |  |  | NTS | Annual Measure |
| 25 | \% of BME Pupil Exclusions Fixed - Secondary | 12.9\% | 8.5\% | N/A | N/A |  |  |  | NTS | Annual Measure |
| 26 | \% of Pupil Exclusions Fixed - Primary | 0.79\% | 0.9\% | N/A | N/A |  |  |  | NTS | Annual Measure |
| 27 | Number\|\% of Permanent Exclusions - Secondary | 0.31\% | 0.15\% | N/A | N/A | 0.04\%/3 | 0.08\% (6) | 0.1\% (8) | Green | YTD |
| $\stackrel{28}{29}$ | Number/\% of BME Pupil Exclusions Permanent - Secondary | 0.27\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | $0 \% / 10$ | 0.02\% (1) | 0.06\% (3) | NTS | YTD |
| ${ }^{29}$ | Numberi\% of Pupil Exclusions Permanent - Primary |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | $0 \% / 0$ | $0 \% / 10$ | $0 \% / 0$ | NTS | YTD |
| $\mathrm{O}_{31} 30$ | Number\%\% of BME Pupil Exclusions Permanent - Primary | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | ${ }^{\text {O\%/ }}$ TBC | $\frac{0 \% / 0}{\text { TBC }}$ | ${ }^{0 \% / 10}$ | NTS | $\xrightarrow{\text { Academic }}$ Year |
| 32 | Statements issued within 26 weeks without exceptions | 90.7\% | 95\% | N/A | 89\% | 89.6\% |  |  | Green | YTD |
| 33 | Statements issued within 26 weeks with and without exceptions | 85\% | 87\% | N/A | 89\% | 92.1\% |  |  | Green | YTD |
| 34 | Provision of Short Breaks | 519 | N/A | N/A | 520 | 473 |  |  | Green | YTD |
| 35 | SEN Statements Applied for (No. of Referrals Received for Assessment Panel) | TBC | TBC | TBC | TBC | 40 | 72 | 101 | NTS | October - Feb |
| 36 | SEN Statements Issued | 116 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 96 | 110 | 120 | NTS | YTD |
| 37 | Childrens Centres Inspections good or outstanding | 100\% | 73\% | 62.5\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | Green |  |
| 38 | Number of 0-4 year olds accessing childrens centre services from deprived area (IDACI bottom 30\%) | 51.6\% | N/A | N/A | 60\% | 57.9\% |  |  | Amber | YTD |
|  | Road Accidents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 | CYP Road accidents - reported incidents Fatal/Serious/Slight | 0/2/31 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0/3/50 |  |  | NTS | January - December |

CYP Overview and Scrutiny Panel - Performance Index April 2012

